A carbohydrate-derived trifunctional scaffold for medicinal chemistry library synthesis
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Abstract: For the generation of compound libraries for drug discovery a central scaffold containing three exit vectors with defined chirality was devised starting from commercially available tri-O-acetyl-glucal. Surprisingly, the reaction of a 4-O-mesylate with sodium azide did not lead to the expected 4-azido-4-deoxy derivative but to a 3-azido-3-deoxy regioisomer via intermediate epoxide formation. The absolute stereochemical configuration of the final tetrahydrofuran building block was proven by X-ray crystallography. This scaffold endowed with a carboxylic acid, a secondary alcohol, and an azide functionality may be connected to a DNA tag at any of the three distinct exit vectors, thus providing ready access to several different compound libraries.
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Introduction

In the search for pharmacologically interesting lead compounds, high throughput screening (HTS) is one of the most widely employed methods to scan and analyze compound libraries. In recent years DNA-encoded library technology has attracted significant attention from both industry and academia 1. Using this technology all screening compounds are equipped with a unique DNA tag, and the whole library is interrogated against a therapeutic target. This is particularly useful when only small amounts of target proteins are available. In contrast to the classical HTS screening where discrete compounds are individually screened, DNA-encoded libraries contain a combinatorial mixture of billions of compounds and enlarging the size of the library incurs only minimal additional costs upon screening 2. Franzini and Randolph 3 have investigated the chemical space and topologies of DNA encoded chemical libraries, and central scaffold used were only triazines 2,6 and simply hydroxy- 5 or aminopropylene 6. We have recently presented a carbohydrate derived central scaffold for use in DNA-encoded library technology 7. While triazines are flat and thus medicinally less interesting, the pyran scaffold is conformationally rather rigid and can present its residues in a defined three-dimensional orientation. Carbohydrates with their pre-existing conformationally defined substituents are ideally suited, and herein we discuss a further example starting from glucal.

Results and Discussion

Commercial tri-O-acetyl-D-glucal was deacetylated with a basic ion exchange resin 8, and the resulting D-glucal was directly selectively oxidized with palladium acetate and vinyl acetate as the proton acceptor to furnish enone 1 in 82% overall yield 9. The decent yield of 86% reported 10 for the selective reduction of the double bond of enone 1 with palladium-on-carbon in ethanol could not be reproduced. In our hands, the yields obtained for compound 2 were <40%. However, employing ethyl acetate as a solvent increased the yield to acceptable 56%. The primary hydroxyl group was selectively silylated with tert-butyldiphenylsilyl chloride to give compound 3 in 93% yield. The bulky silyl protective group was chosen not only to obtain a selective silylation reaction but also to influence the stereochemical outcome 11 of the reduction of the 3-oxo group at a later stage. In order to simplify the chromatographic purification of the hydrogenated product, we have also inverted the reaction sequence. Diol 1 was silylated to give the tert-butyldiphenylsilyl protected enitol 4 (93%) followed by hydrogenation to compound 3 which again was
not selective and only low-yielding (37%). Mesylation of enitol 4 afforded compound 5 in 67% yield which was hydrogenated to furnish tetrahydropyran derivative 6 in 37% yield. The identical compound was accessible from hexulose 3 by mesylation of the secondary alcohol group (Scheme 1).

**Scheme 1.** Reagents and conditions: (i) H₂, Pd/C, EtOAc, rt, 15 h; (ii) TBDPSCI, imidazole, CH₂Cl₂, rt, 10 min; (iii) TBDPSCI, Im, CH₂Cl₂, rt, 30 min; (iv) H₂, Pd/C, EtOH, rt, 15 h; (v) MsCl, Py, 0 °C -> rt, 4h; (vi) H₂, Pd/C, AcOEt/EtOH, rt, 2 h; (vii) MsCl, Py, 0 °C -> rt, 4h. TBDPS = tert-butyldiphenylsilyl.

In an attempt to improve the yield of the alkene reduction step we looked at this stage also into a less sterically hindered silyl protective group. The reaction of enone 1 with tert-butyldimethylsilyl chloride yielded the silyl ether 7 in 86%. This compound had been prepared before by oxidation of the respective glucal. While the tert-butyldimethylsilyl ether 7 is nicely crystalline, mesylate 8 was only obtained as highly viscous oil. Hydrogenation of compound 7 provided only a small amount of the anticipated 3-oxo product 9 (18%), the main product was the diol 10 (58%) in the favored D-arabino configuration with the newly formed hydroxyl group in equatorial position (Scheme 2).

**Scheme 2.** Reagents and conditions: (i) TBDPMBCl, imidazole, CH₂Cl₂, rt, 30 min; (ii) MsCl, Py, 0 °C -> rt, 4h; (iii) H₂, Pd/C, EtOH, rt, 20 min. Ms = mesyl, TBDMS = tert-butyldimethylsilyl.
In order to capitalize on the steric properties, we thus continued with the tert-butyldiphenylsilyl protected hexulose 6 reducing the oxo function with sodium borohydride to result in mainly D-arabino configured compound 11. The ratio of D-arabino to D-ribo product 12 was 87:13 as determined by $^1$H-NMR integration (Scheme 3). In contrast, with an $\alpha$-anomeric substituent only the D-allo product (analogous to D-ribo in our case) had been obtained. Likewise, 1,5-anhydro-4,6-di-O-tert-butyldiphenylsilyl-2-deoxy-D-erythro-hex-1-en-3-ulose had been reported to strongly favor the D-ribo derivative upon sodium borohydride reduction, which hints at the directing influence of a bulky substituent at O-4. Unfortunately, the treatment of the mesylated and 6-O-silyl protected enones 5 and 8 with sodium borohydride led to major decomposition.

Next, the mesylate 11 was treated with sodium azide in DMSO in an attempt to introduce a nitrogen functionality in the 4-position. To our initial surprise the 4-position was not substituted, and instead, the azide was introduced in the 3-position as evidenced by a series of 2D-NMR experiments. In particular, a 2ax/4-OH crosspeak was observed in the NOESY spectrum demonstrating the inversion at the C-4 position. This experimental outcome can be explained by initial epoxide formation followed by the opening of the three-membered ring by azide at the sterically less hindered C-atom. Indeed, a closer look at the previous borohydride reduction revealed the formation of epoxide 13 as a minor by-product (9%) next to the formation of the desired compounds 11 and 12. Finally, the 3-azido derivative 14 was silyl deprotected to furnish the diol 15 which was then selectively oxidized at the primary alcohol position in a two-step process employing TEMPO and subsequently sodium chlorite to give the final scaffold 16. The absolute stereochemical configuration of the trifunctional scaffold 16 was unequivocally proven by single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis (Figure 1).

Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: (i) NaBH$_4$, EtOH/H$_2$O, rt, 10 min; (ii) NaN$_3$, DMF, 90 °C, 2 days; (iii) tert-Bu$_4$NF, THF, rt, 1h; (iv) tert-BuNI, tetramethylpiperidine-$N$-oxide (TEMPO), (diacetoxyiodo)benzene (BAIB), CH$_2$Cl$_2$/H$_2$O (3:1), then 2-methyl-2-butene, NaClO$_2$, NaH$_2$PO$_4$, H$_2$O, 1 h.

Figure 1. Single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis of azide 16. The ORTEP drawing depicts thermal ellipsoids at a 30% probability level.
Conclusion

In summary, we have demonstrated the synthesis of a trifunctional scaffold endowed with a carboxylic acid, a secondary alcohol and an azide functionality for use as a non-planar building block in medicinal chemistry library synthesis aimed at drug discovery. Furthermore, this building block is ideally suited for attachment of a DNA tag via any of the functional groups. The scaffold can then be expanded at the two remaining vectors, thus, enabling the generation of several DNA-encoded libraries. The azide, as a masked amino group, inherently carries a double function as it can be either reacted with acetylenes in a click-type reaction to triazoles or after reduction to a primary amino group can undergo the usual amine formation. Libraries generated from this building block contain a 3-amino-3-deoxy sugar-type derivative as a core, which is a prominent feature in numerous biologically active naturally derived compounds. Alternatively, when considering the corresponding amino group, this core may be viewed as a conformationally restrained \( \gamma \)-amino acid that can mimic biologically relevant exit vectors in proteins.
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Experimental

General Methods

Most reagents were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, palladium-on-carbon (10%) and toluenesulfonyl chloride from Merck. Solvents were purchased from either Fischer-Scientific, VWR or Lab-Scan and used without further purification unless otherwise stated. N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) and pyridine were dried over 4 Å molecular sieves. Analytical thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on was performed by using 20 x 20 cm ALUGRAM® SIL G aluminum sheets from Macherey-Nagel GmbH & Co. KG, and the TLC spots were visualized with Hanessian mixture. Medium pressure liquid chromatography (MPLC) was performed on an Isco Combiflash RF200 system using pre-packed normal phase disposable columns for flash chromatography.

Melting points were determined on a Büchi Melting Point B-540 apparatus. \(^1\)H Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance III 600 MHz spectrometer. In the \(^1\)H NMR spectra, signal positions (\( \delta \)) are given in parts per million (ppm) from tetramethylsilane (\( \delta = 0 \)) and were measured relative to the signal of CDCl\(_3\) (\( \delta = 7.26 \) ppm), DMSO-d\(_6\) (2.50 ppm) or CD\(_2\)OD (3.31 ppm). Resonances in the \(^1\)H NMR spectra are reported to the nearest 0.01 ppm. \(^13\)C NMR spectra were recorded using the same spectrometer (150 MHz), and signal positions (\( \delta \)) are given in parts per million (ppm) from tetramethylsilane (\( \delta = 0 \)) and were measured relative to the signal of CDCl\(_3\) (\( \delta = 77.16 \) ppm), DMSO-d\(_6\) (39.52 ppm) or CD\(_2\)OD (49.00 ppm) and reported to the nearest 0.1 ppm. HSQC-DEPT Spectra were measured routinely. Unequivocal assignments were made with the aid of 2D HMBC, COSY, and NOESY experiments. The multiplicities of signals are given as s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, quin = quintet, m = multiplet, br = broadened and combinations thereof. Coupling constants (\( J \)) are reported to the nearest 0.1 Hz. Anomeric carbons were numbered 1. High resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) was performed on a Finnigan LTQ FT MS or an Agilent 6520 spectrometer using time of flight (TOF) with positive ESI at 70 eV within a tolerance of ± 5 ppm of the theoretical value.

Data for 1,5-Anhydro-2-deoxy-D-erythro-hex-1-en-3-ulose (1)

\(^1\)H NMR (600 MHz, methanol-d\(_4\)), HSQC, HMBC) \( \delta \) 7.55 (slightly broadened, \( d \), 1H, H-1), 5.40 (d, \( J_{1,2} = 5.8 \) Hz, 1H, H-2), 4.35 (d, \( J_{5,6} = 13.0 \) Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.18 (dd, \( J_{1,5} = 0.7 \) Hz, 1H, H-5), 4.01 (dd, \( J_{5,6a} = 2.2 \) Hz, \( J_{6a,6a} = 12.6 \) Hz, 1H, H-6a), 3.90 (dd, \( J_{5,6b} = 4.4 \) Hz, \( J_{6a,6a} = 12.6 \) Hz, 1H, H-6b);

\(^1\)H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)), HSQC-DEPT) \( \delta \) 7.43 (slightly broadened, \( d \), 1H, H-1), 5.50 (d, \( J_{1,2} = 5.9 \) Hz, 1H, H-2), 4.36 (d, \( J_{5,6} = 13.3 \) Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.19 (dd, \( J_{5,6} = 0.6 \) Hz, 1H, H-5), 4.12 (dd, \( J_{5,6b} = 2.7 \) Hz, \( J_{6a,6a} = 12.6 \) Hz, 1H, H-6a), 4.02 (dd, \( J_{5,6b} = 4.4 \) Hz, \( J_{6a,6a} = 12.6 \) Hz, 1H, H-6b).

Data for 1,5-Anhydro-2-deoxy-D-erythro-hex-3-ulose (2)

\(^1\)H NMR (600 MHz, methanol-d\(_4\)), HSQC-DEPT, HSQC-HMBC, COSY) \( \delta \) 4.28 (dd, \( J_{1ax,1eq} = 11.3 \) Hz, \( J_{1ax,2ax} = 7.5 \) Hz, \( J_{1eq,1eq} = 1.2 \) Hz, 1H, H-1eq), 4.14 (dd, \( J_{5,6} = 10.0 \) Hz, \( J_{2ax,5} = 1.2 \) Hz, 1H, H-4), 3.88 (dd, \( J_{5,6} = 2.1 \) Hz, \( J_{6a,6b} = 12.0 \) Hz, 1H, H-6a), 3.76 (dd, \( J_{5,6b} = 5.1 \) Hz, \( J_{6a,6b} = 12.0 \) Hz, 1H, H-6b), 3.65 (dd, \( J_{1ax,1eq} = 11.3 \) Hz, \( J_{1ax,2ax} = 12.6 \) Hz, \( J_{1eq,2eq} = 2.5 \) Hz, 1H, H-2ax), 3.34 (dd, \( J_{5,6} = 10.0 \) Hz, \( J_{6a,6b} = 2.1 \) Hz, \( J_{6b,6b} = 5.1 \) Hz, 1H, H-5), 2.80 (dd, \( J_{eq,2eq} = 7.5 \) Hz, \( J_{1ax,2ax} = 12.6 \) Hz, \( J_{1eq,2eq} = 14.0 \) Hz, \( J_{2ax,4} = 1.5 \) Hz, 1H, H-2ax), 2.41 (dd, \( J_{1ax,2ax} = 2.5 \) Hz, \( J_{1ax,2eq} = 1.2 \) Hz, \( J_{1eq,2eq} = 14.0 \) Hz, 1H, H-2eq);
1,5-Anhydro-6-O-tert-butylidiphenylisilyl-2-deoxy-D-erythro-hex-1-en-3-uloside (3)

Method A. To a solution of compound 2 (0.29 g, 1.98 mmol) in dichloromethane (4 mL) were added t-butylidiphenylchlorosilane (0.71 g, 2.57 mmol) and imidazole (0.34 g, 4.95 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 30 min. After this time TLC (ethyl acetate) showed the complete consumption of the starting material and the formation of one major product. The reaction mixture was washed with water (5 mL), and the organic layer was dried (sodium sulfate) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by column chromatography (ethyl acetate/hexane 1:5) to give pure compound 3 (0.70 g, 93%) as a colorless oil.

Method B. Through a solution of compound 4 (11.14 g, 29.1 mmol) in ethanol (400 mL) was bubbled argon for five minutes. Palladium-on-carbon (10%, 557 mg, 5% wt) was added carefully. The reaction flask was evacuated, and hydrogen was added. The mixture was stirred for 15 hours when complete removal of starting material was detected (ethyl acetate). The catalyst was filtered off through celite, and the solvent was reduced in vacuo.

The resulting crude oil was subjected to column chromatography (ethyl acetate) to furnish compound 3 (4.154 g, 37%) as a colorless oil: [α]D20 = +34.4 (c=1.0 in methanol);

1H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-d6, HSQC, HMBC, NOESY) δ 7.70–7.66 (m, 8H, Ph), 7.47–7.38 (m, 6H, Ph), 5.32 (d, J=4.9 Hz, 1H, 4-Oh), 5.33 (d, J=5.8 Hz, 1H, H-2), 4.31 (dd, J=4.5 Hz, J=4.9 Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.23 (dd ≈ dt, 1H, H-5), 4.06–3.93 (m, 2H, H-6a, H-6b), 0.99 (s, 9H, tBu);

13C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 194.4 (C=O), 136.7 (C-1), 135.4 (2C, Si-Ph), 130.0 (2C, p-Ph), 128.0 (4C, m-Ph), 104.0 (C-2), 82.9 (C-5), 67.5 (C-4), 62.6 (C-6), 26.7 (3C, CH3), 19.0 (Si-C);

HRMS (EI pos.) m/z calcld. for C32H26O6Si 382.160, found 382.159.

1,5-Anhydro-6-O-tert-butylidiphenylisilyl-4-O-methanesulphonyl-2-deoxy-D-erythro-hex-1-en-3-uloside (5)

To a solution of compound 4 (3.92 g, 10.26 mmol) in dry pyridine (20 mL) was added methanesulfonyl chloride (0.87 mL, 11.28 mmol) at 0°C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 4h at rt. After this time TCL (ethyl acetate/hexane 1:3) indicated the complete consumption of the starting material. The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was taken up in ethyl acetate (35 mL) and washed with 10% aqueous HCl solution (30 mL) and then aqueous saturated sodium bicarbonate solution (30 mL). The organic layer was dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by column chromatography using ethyl acetate/hexane 1:5 to give the pure mesylate 5 (3.09 g, 67%) as a colorless crystals, mp 105.6-106.3 °C; [α]D20 = +149.2 (c=0.5 in methanol);

1H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d6, HSQC, HMBC, COSY) δ 7.78 (d, J=5.8 Hz, 1H, H-1), 7.70–7.66 (m, 4H, p-Ph), 7.51–7.40 (m, 6H, m-Ph, pPh), 5.51 (d, J=5.1 Hz, 1H, H-2), 5.51 (d, J=5.1 Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.70 (dd ≈ dt, J=5.1 Hz, J=11.7 Hz, H-5), 4.02–3.92 (m, 2H, H-6a, H-6b), 3.38 (s, 3H, -SC2H5), 1.00 (s, 9H, tBu);

13C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 187.4 (C=O), 164.6 (C-1), 135.25 (2C, p-Ph), 135.21 (2C, p-Ph), 132.3 (Si-Ph), 132.2 (Si-Ph), 130.0 (2C, p-Ph),
127.9 (4C, mPh), 104.1 (C-2), 80.1 (C-5), 73.8 (C-4), 61.6 (C-6), 38.7 (SCH3), 26.5 (3C, CH3), 18.9 (Si-C);
HRMS (EI pos.) m/z calc. for C25H40O8SiS 460.138, found 460.137.

1,5-Anhydro-6-O-tert-butyldiphenylsilyl-2-deoxy-4-O-methanesulphonyl-D-erythro-hex-3-ulose (6)

Method A. Through a solution of compound 5 (0.5 g, 14.68 mmol) in a mixture of ethyl acetate/ethanol (5:1, 30 mmol) was bubbled argon for five minutes. Palladium on carbon (10% Pd/C) (52 mg, 5% wt) was added carefully. The reaction flask was evacuated, and hydrogen was added. The mixture was stirred for 2 hours when the full conversion was detected (AcOEt/hexane 3:7). The catalyst was filtered off through celite, and the solvent was reduced in vacuo. The resulting crude oil was subjected to column chromatography (AcOEt/hexane 1:5) to furnish compound 6 (0.188 g, 37%) as a colourless oil.

Method B. To a solution of compound 3 (0.96 g, 2.49 mmol) in dry pyridine (4.2 mL) was added methanesulfonyl chloride (0.48 mL, 2.75 mmol) at 0°C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2h at rt. After this time TLC (ethyl acetate/hexane 1:3) indicated the complete consumption of the starting material. The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was taken up in ethyl acetate (25 mL) and washed with 10% aqueous HCl solution (10 mL) and then aqueous saturated sodium bicarbonate solution (10 mL). The organic layer was dried over magnesium sulfate and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by column chromatography using ethyl acetate/hexane 1:5 as the eluent to give the pure mesylate 6 (1.06 g, 89%) as a colorless oil; [α]20D = +59.9 (c=1.0 in methanol);

1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3, HSQC, HMBC, NOESY) δ 7.70-7.67 (m, 4H, Ph), 7.49-7.42 (m, 6H, Ph), 5.28 (d, J = 9.9 Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.21 (ddd ≈ dd, J1ax,1eq = 11.3 Hz, J1eq,2eq < 1 Hz, 1H, H-1eq), 3.88 (dd, J2a,6b = 1.8 Hz, 1H, H-6a), 3.85 (dd, J3a,6a = 3.6 Hz, J6a,6b = 11.7 Hz, 1H, H-6b), 3.77 (ddd, 1H, H-5), 3.68 (dd, J1eq,2eq = 13.5 Hz, J1ax,2eq = 1.3 Hz, 1H, H-1ax), 3.25 (3H, SO2CH3), 2.88 (ddd ≈ dt, J1ax,2eq = 13.5 Hz, 1H, H-2ax), 2.44 (ddd ≈ br. d, 1H, H-2eq), 1.00 (3C, 3H, CH3), 0.07 (s, 6H, SiCH3);

13C NMR (151 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 201.1 (C=O), 135.3 (2C, oPh), 135.2 (2C, oPh), 132.8 (Si-Car), 132.6 (Si-Car), 130.0 (pPh), 129.9 (pPh), 127.92 (2C, mPh), 127.89 (2C, mPh), 80.1 (C-5), 78.5 (C-4), 66.0 (C-1), 62.7 (C-6), 41.9 (C-2), 38.8 (SCH3), 26.6 (3C, CH3), 19.0 (SiC); 13C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl3) δ 201.6 (C=O), 136.0 (2C, oPh), 135.9 (2C, oPh), 133.14 (Si-Car), 133.10 (Si-Car), 129.9 (pPh), 129.8 (pPh), 127.9 (2C, mPh), 127.8 (2C, mPh), 81.5 (C-5), 78.9 (C-4), 66.8 (C-1), 62.5 (C-6), 42.4 (2C-2), 39.8 (SCH3), 26.9 (3C, CH3), 19.5 (SiC);

HRMS (m/m) m/z 462.1532 calc. for C25H40O8SiS, found 462.1519 for [M]+.

1,5-Anhydro-6-O-tert-butyldimethylsilyl-2-deoxy-D-erythro-hex-1-en-3-ulose (7)

To a solution of compound 1 (1.84 g, 12.80 mmol) in dichloromethane (25 mL) were added tert-butyldimethylchlorosilane (2.51 g, 16.64 mmol) and imidazole (2.18 g, 32.01 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. After this time TLC (ethyl acetate) showed the complete consumption of the starting material and the formation of one major product. The reaction mixture was washed with water (3x50 mL), and the organic layer was dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by column chromatography (ethyl acetate/hexane 1:5) to give silylated compound 7 (2.85 g, 86%) as a colorless crystals, mp. 113.8-114.7 °C (lit. 12: mp 114.4-115 °C, lit. 13: 114.5 – 115 °C; [α]20D = +171.1 (c=0.5 in methanol) lit. 13: [α]20D = +275.5 (c=0.25 in chloroform);

1H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d6, HSQC, HMBC, NOESY) δ 7.61 (d, J1,2 = 5.8 Hz, 1H, H-1), 5.68 (m, J1,2 = 3.8 Hz, J4,OH = 1.3 Hz, 1H, 4-OH), 5.30 (d, 1H, H-2), 4.19-4.07 (m, 2H, H-4, H-5), 3.96 (dd, J2a,6b = 1.4 Hz, J4,6b = 11.8 Hz, 1H, H-4a), 3.90 (dd, J3a,6a = 3.2 Hz, J6a,6b = 11.8 Hz, 1H, H-6b), 0.86 (s, 9H, CH3); 0.07 (s, 6H, SiCH3);

13C NMR (600 MHz, CDCl3, HSQC-DEPT, COSY, NOESY) δ 7.70-7.67 (m, 4H, Ph), 7.49-7.42 (m, 6H, Ph), 5.28 (d, J = 9.9 Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.21 (ddd ≈ dd, J1ax,1eq = 11.3 Hz, J1eq,2eq < 1 Hz, 1H, H-1eq), 3.88 (dd, J2a,6b = 1.8 Hz, 1H, H-6a), 3.85 (dd, J3a,6a = 3.6 Hz, J6a,6b = 11.7 Hz, 1H, H-6b), 3.77 (ddd, 1H, H-5), 3.68 (dd, J1eq,2eq = 13.5 Hz, J1ax,2eq = 1.3 Hz, 1H, H-1ax), 3.25 (3H, SO2CH3), 2.88 (ddd ≈ dt, J1ax,2eq = 13.5 Hz, 1H, H-2ax), 2.44 (ddd ≈ br. d, 1H, H-2eq), 1.00 (3C, 3H, CH3), 0.11 (s, 3H, CH3);
chloride (0.84 mL, 10.86 mmol) at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 4h at rt. After this time TLC (AcOEt/hexane 1:3) indicated the complete consumption of the starting material. The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was taken up in ethyl acetate (25 mL) and washed with 10% aqueous HCl solution (20 mL) and then aqueous saturated sodium bicarbonate solution (20 mL). The organic layer was dried over sodium sulfate and concentrate in vacuo. The crude product was purified by column chromatography using ethyl acetate/hexane 1:5 as the eluent to give the pure mesylate 8 (2.55 g, 77%) as a colorless oil; [α]D20 = +224.0 (c=0.1 in methanol);

1H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d6, HSQC, HMBC, COSY) δ 7.74 (d, J1r,2 = 5.9 Hz, 1H, H-1), 5.46 (d, J1,2 = 5.8 Hz, 1H, H-2), 5.28 (d, J1,5 = 11.8 Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.64 (dd ≈ dt, 1H, J5r,5 = 11.7 Hz, H-5), 3.98 (dd, J5r,6a = 2.0 Hz, J6a,6b = 12.4 Hz, 1H, H-6a), 3.90 (dd, J6b,6a = 3.3 Hz, J6a,6b = 12.4 Hz, 1H, H-6b), 3.34 (s, 3H, SICH3), 0.87 (s, 6H, tBu), 0.08 (s, 3H, tBu), 0.07 (s, 3H, SiCH3); 13C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 187.3 (C=O), 164.5 (C-4), 140.4 (C-3), 80.1 (C-5), 73.8 (C-4), 60.9 (C-5), 38.7 (SICH3), 25.9 (tBu), 35.9, 31.3, 28.9 (3C, C-SICH3), 21.5, 18.5, 18.0 (SICH3), 11.9 (3C, C-SICH3).

1H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-d6, HSQC-DEPT) δ 7.39 (d, J1r,2 = 5.9 Hz, 1H, H-1), 5.45 (d, J1,2 = 5.9 Hz, 1H, H-2), 5.43 (d, J1,5 = 12.1 Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.43 (dd ≈ dt, J5r,5 = 12.1 Hz, 1H, H-5), 4.04 (dd, J5r,6a = 2.0 Hz, J6a,6b = 12.0 Hz, 1H, H-6a), 3.99 (dd, J6b,6a = 3.2 Hz, J6a,6b = 12.0 Hz, 1H, H-6b), 3.33 (s, 3H, SICH3), 0.92 (s, 9H, tBu), 0.12 (s, 3H, SiCH3), 0.11 (s, 3H, SICH3); 13C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 187.3 (C=O), 164.5 (C-4), 140.4 (C-3), 80.1 (C-5), 73.8 (C-4), 60.9 (C-5), 38.7 (SICH3), 25.9 (tBu), 35.9 (SICH3), 18.5 (SiCH3), 11.9 (3C, C-SICH3), 21.5, 18.5, 18.0 (SICH3), 11.9 (3C, C-SICH3).

13C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 207.5 (C=O), 83.6 (C-5), 73.3 (C-4), 66.2 (C-1), 63.3 (C-6), 41.3 (C-2), 25.9 (C-SICH3), 18.2 (C-SICH3), -5.16 (Si-SICH3), -5.19 (Si-SICH3).

1H NMR (151 MHz, CDCl3) δ 208.2 (C=O), 84.8 (C-5), 73.9 (C-4), 67.5 (C-1), 63.5 (C-6), 41.0 (C-2), 26.1 (3C, C-SICH3), 18.7 (C-SICH3), -5.1 (2C, Si-SICH3); MS (ES+): m/z 245.11 [M+CH3]+, 203.1 [M+Bu]+, HRMS (GC TOF) m/z 245.12036 calculated for C15H22O3Si, found 244.1182 for [M+CH3]+, m/z 203.07341 calculated for C14H19O2Si, found 203.10768 for [M+Bu]+.

**Compound 10:**
Amorphous; [α]D20 = +11.4 (c=0.1 in methanol);

1H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d6, HSQC, HMBC, NOESY) δ 4.83 (d, J4,1eq = 4.7 Hz, 1H, 4-OH), 4.79 (d, J3,3-0H = 4.6 Hz, 1H, 3-OH), 3.85 (dd, J6a,6b = 1.6 Hz, J6a,6b = 11.2 Hz, 1H, H-6a), 3.76 (dd, J1,1eq = 11.5 Hz, J1eq,2ax = 4.8 Hz, J1eq,2ax = 1.6 Hz, 1H, H-1eq), 3.62 (dd, J6b,6a = 5.4 Hz, J6a,6b = 11.2 Hz, 1H, H-6b), 3.36-3.26 (m, 1H, H-3), 3.26 (dd, J1,1eq = 11.5 Hz, J1eq,2ax = 2.0 Hz, J1ax,2ax = 12.6 Hz, 1H, H-1ax), 2.96 (dd ≈ dt, 1H, J4,4ax = 4.7 Hz, H-4), 1.74 (dddd ≈ dt, J2ax,2eq = 12.9 Hz, J1eq,2eq = 5.0 Hz, 1H, H-2eq), 1.38 (dddd ≈ dt, J1ax,2ax = 12.6 Hz, J1eq,2eq = 4.8 Hz, J2ax,2eq = 12.8 Hz, J2ax,3 = 11.5 Hz, 1H, H-2ax), 0.86 (s, 9H, tBu), 0.03 (s, 3H, SiCH3), 0.02 (s, 3H, SiCH3).

1H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl3, HSQC-DEPT) δ 3.92 (dd, J1ax,1eq = 11.7 Hz, J1eq,2eq = 1.6 Hz, J1eq,2ax = 4.9 Hz, 1H, H-1eq), 3.91 (dd, J6a,6b = 4.6 Hz, J6a,6b = 10.2 Hz, 1H, H-6a), 3.74 (dd, J6b,6a = 7.0 Hz, J6a,6b = 10.2 Hz, 1H, H-6b), 3.65 (dddd, J2ax,3 = 11.5 Hz, J3eq,3 = 5.0 Hz, J4,4ax = 8.7 Hz, 1H, H-3), 3.44 (dddd, J1ax,1eq = 11.7

**1,5-Anhydro-6-O-tert-butylmethylsilyl-2-deoxy-D-erythro-hex-3-ulose (9) and 1,5-Anhydro-6-O-tetrt-butylmethylsilyl-2-deoxy-D-aranino-hexitol (10)**

Through a solution of compound 7 (3.76 g, 14.68 mmol) in ethanol (150 mL) was bubbled argon for five minutes. Palladium-on-carbon (10%, 188 mg) was added carefully. The reaction was evacuated, and hydrogen was added. The mixture was stirred for 20 minutes when the full conversion was detected (ethyl acetate/hexane 3:7). The catalyst was filtered off through celite and the solvent was reduced in vacuo. The resulting crude oil was subjected to column chromatography (ethyl acetate/hexane 1:5) to furnish compounds 9 (0.701 g, 18%) and 10 (2.2347 g, 58%) both as colorless solids.

**Compound 9:**
Amorphous; [α]D20 = +30 (c=1.0 in methanol);
Hz, $J_{1ax,2eq} = 2.0$ Hz, $J_{1ax,2ax} = 12.7$ Hz, 1H, H-1ax), 3.19 (ddd, $J_{3,3} = 9.1$ Hz, $J_{6a,6b} = 4.6$ Hz, $J_{6a,6b} = 7.0$ Hz, 1H, H-5), 3.41 (dd $\approx t$, 1H, H-4), 3.91 (dddd $\approx ddt$, $J_{1ax,2eq} = 2.1$ Hz, $J_{1ax,2eq} = 13.1$ Hz, $J_{2eq,3} = 5.0$ Hz, 1H, H-2eq), 1.66 (dddd $\approx ddt$, $J_{1ax,2eq} + J_{2ax,2eq} + J_{3,3} = 37.2$ Hz, $J_{1eq,2eq} = 4.9$ Hz, 1H, H-2ax), 0.90 (s, 9H, tBu), 0.10 (s, 3H, CH$_3$), 0.09 (s, 3H, CH$_3$);

$^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$) $\delta$ 81.4 (C-5), 72.3 (C-3), 71.7 (C-4), 64.8 (C-1), 63.5 (C-6), 34.1 (C-2), 25.9 (tBu), 18.2 (SiC), -5.11 (SiCH$_3$), -5.12 (SiCH$_3$);

$^{13}$C NMR (151 MHz, CDC$_3$) $\delta$ 77.7 (C-4), 76.4 (C-5), 73.1 (C-3), 65.9 (C-1), 65.8 (C-6), 32.8 (C-2), 26.0 (3C, tBu-CH$_3$), 18.4 (C-CH$_3$), -5.38 (Si-CH$_3$), -5.40 (Si-CH$_3$);

MS (Cl, NH$_4^+$) m/z 205.1 [M•tBu]$^+$;

HRMS (GC TOF) m/z 205.08906 calcld. for C$_9$H$_{14}$O$_2$Si, found 205.08961 for [M•tBu]$^+$.

1,5-Anhydro-6-O-tert-butyldiphenylsilyl-2-deoxy-4-O-methanesulphonyl-D-arabino-hexitol (11), 1,5-Anhydro-6-O-tetradecylsilyl-2-deoxy-4-O-methanesulphonyl-D-ribo-hexitol (12), and 2,6,3,4-Bis-anhydro-6-O-tetradecylsilyl-5-deoxy-D-arabino-hexitol (13)

To a solution of compound 6 (1.68 g, 3.62 mmol) in a 5:1 mixture of ethanol and water (30 mL) was added a solution of sodium borohydride (0.179 g, 0.179 mmol) in water (3 mL). The reaction mixture was then stirred for 10 min at room temperature. After this time TLC (ethyl acetate/hexane 1:1) showed the complete consumption of the starting material. The reaction mixture was then diluted with ethyl acetate (30 mL), and the aqueous layer was separated and extracted with ethyl acetate (2 x 30 mL), dried over sodium sulfate, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate/hexane 1:3) to give compounds 11 and 12 (1.48 g, 88%), 11/12 = 83.17 (along with epoxide 13 (0.12 g, 9%)).

Compound 11:

Amorphous, [α]$^D_{20}$ = +40.4 (c=0.1 in methanol);

$^1$H NMR (600 MHz, CDC$_3$, HSQC-DEPT) $\delta$ 7.71 - 7.70 (m, 4H, Ph), 7.44-7.40 (m, 2H, Ph), 7.39-7.36 (m, 4H, Ph), 4.59 (dd $\approx t$, $J_{3,3} = 8.8$ Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.00 (ddd, $J_{1ax,1eq} = 11.8$ Hz, $J_{1eq,2eq} = 4.9$ Hz, $J_{1eq,2eq} = 1.6$ Hz, 1H, H-1eq), 3.90 (dd, $J_{6a,6b} = 1.8$ Hz, $J_{6a,6b} = 11.7$ Hz, 1H, H-6a), 3.84 (ddd $\approx dt$, $J_{2ax,3} = 5.3$ Hz, 1H, H-3), 3.83 (ddd, $J_{5,6b} = 4.2$ Hz, $J_{5,6b} = 11.7$ Hz, 1H, H-6b), 3.39 (ddd $\approx dt$, $J_{1ax,1eq} = 11.8$ Hz, $J_{1ax,2eq} = 13.7$ Hz, $J_{2ax,2eq} = 1.9$ Hz, 1H, H-1ax), 3.30 (ddd $J_{5,6b} = 1.8$ Hz, $J_{5,6b} = 4.2$ Hz, 1H, H-5), 2.96 (s, 3H, CH$_3$), 2.09 (ddd $\approx dct$, $J_{2ax,3} = 13.3$ Hz, $J_{2eq,3} = 5.3$ Hz, 1H, H-2eq), 1.76 (dddd, $J_{2ax,3} = 10.5$ Hz, 1H, H-2ax), 1.07 (s, 9H, tBu);

$^1$H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$, HSQC, HMBC, NOESY) $\delta$ 7.71-7.64 (m, 4H, Ph), 7.47-7.36 (m, 6H, mPh, PhPh), 5.51 (dd, $J_{3,3} = 6.2$ Hz, 1H, 3-0H), 4.38 (dd $\approx t$, $J_{4,4} + J_{4,4} = 18.4$ Hz, 1H, H-4), 3.86 (ddd, $J_{1ax,1eq} = 11.7$ Hz, $J_{1eq,2eq} = 5.0$ Hz, $J_{1eq,2eq} = 1.5$ Hz, H-1eq), 3.69 (ddd $\approx dt$, $J_{2ax,3} = 5.3$ Hz, 1H, H-3), 3.86 (dd, $J_{6a,6b} = 1.8$ Hz, $J_{6a,6b} = 11.5$ Hz, 1H, H-6a), 3.78 (dd, $J_{6a,6b} = 4.5$ Hz, $J_{6a,6b} = 11.5$ Hz, 1H, H-6b), 3.42-3.29 (m, 2H, H-1ax, H-5), 3.23 (s, 3H, SCH$_3$), 1.92 (ddd $\approx br$, dd, $J_{1ax,2eq} = 13.3$ Hz, 1H, H-2eq), 1.58 (ddd $\approx dq$, $J_{1ax,2eq} + J_{2ax,2eq} = 23.6$ Hz, $J_{1eq,2eq} = 4.9$ Hz, 1H, H-2ax), 0.98 (s, 9H, tBu);

$^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$) $\delta$ 136.0 (2C, oPh), 135.8 (2C, oPh), 133.6 (Si-Car), 133.3 (Si-Car), 129.8 (pPh), 128.9 (pPh), 127.8 (2C, mPh), 127.7 (2C, mPh), 81.0 (C-4), 78.5 (C-5), 71.4 (C-3), 65.3 (C-1), 60.3 (C-6), 38.3 (SO$_2$CH$_3$), 34.0 (C-2), 27.0 (3C, CH$_3$), 19.5 (SiC);

$^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$) $\delta$ 135.3 (2C, oPh), 135.2 (2C, oPh), 133.1 (Si-Car), 132.9 (Si-Car), 129.9 (2C, pPh), 127.9 (2C, mPh), 127.8 (2C, mPh), 77.3 (C-4), 73.6 (C-5), 63.8 (C-3), 63.1 (C-6), 60.0 (C-1), 38.6 (SO$_2$CH$_3$), 32.7 (C-2), 26.7 (3C, CH$_3$), 19.0 (Si-C);

MS (Cl, NH$_4^+$) m/z 482.2 [M+NH$_4^+$]$^+$. 

---
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1,5-Anhydro-3-azido-6-O-tert-butyldiphenylsilyl 2,3-dideoxy-D-xylo-hexitol (14)

To a solution of compound 11 (0.77 g, 1.60 mmol) in dry DMF (6.4 mL) was added sodium azide (0.52 g, 8.04 mmol). The reaction mixture was then stirred at 90 °C for 2 days. After this time TLC (ethyl acetate/hexane 1:1) showed the complete consumption of the starting material. The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was taken up in ethyl acetate (20 mL) and washed with brine (10 mL) and then with water (10 mL), dried over magnesium sulfate, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (ethyl acetate/hexane 1.8) to give the azide 14 (0.45 g, 68%) as a colorless solid; [α]D 20 = -2.1 (c=0.1 in methanol);

1H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl3, HSQC-DEPT) δ 7.71-7.67 (m, 4H, Ph), 7.46 - 7.42 (m, 2H, Ph), 7.41 - 7.38 (m, 4H, Ph), 3.91 (dd ≈ q, 1H, H-3), 3.87 (dd, J3,6α = 5.1 Hz, J6α,6β = 10.9 Hz, 1H, H-6α), 3.84 (dd ≈ br. d, 1H, H-1eq), 3.83 (dd, J3,6α = 4.0 Hz, 1H, H-6β), 3.82 (m, 1H, H-5), 3.69 (dd ≈ dt, J1α,1eq = 11.6 Hz, J1α,2αeq = 2.2 Hz, 1H, H-1ax), 3.62 (dd ≈ dt, 1H, H-4), 3.44 (dd, J4,α,OH = 4.4 Hz, 1H, 4-OH), 2.29 (dd, J1α,2αeq = 12.8 Hz, J1eq,2αeq = 5.2 Hz, J2α,2b = 14.6 Hz, J2α,3 = 3.5 Hz, 1H, H-2ax), 1.56 (dddd ≈ br. d, 1H, H-2eq), 1.08 (s, 9H, tBu);

1H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-d6, HSQC-DEPT, COSY, NOESY) δ 7.71-7.67 (m, 4H, Ph), 7.48 - 7.42 (m, 2H, Ph), 7.41 - 7.38 (m, 4H, Ph), 3.91 (dd ≈ q, 1H, H-3), 3.87 (dd, J3,6α = 5.1 Hz, J6α,6β = 10.9 Hz, 1H, H-6α), 3.84 (dd ≈ br. d, 1H, H-1eq), 3.83 (dd, J3,6α = 4.0 Hz, 1H, H-6β), 3.82 (m, 1H, H-5), 3.69 (dd ≈ dt, J1α,1eq = 11.6 Hz, J1α,2αeq = 2.2 Hz, 1H, H-1ax), 3.62 (dd ≈ dt, 1H, H-4), 3.44 (dd, J4,α,OH = 4.4 Hz, 1H, 4-OH), 2.29 (dd, J1α,2αeq = 12.8 Hz, J1eq,2αeq = 5.2 Hz, J2α,2b = 14.6 Hz, J2α,3 = 3.5 Hz, 1H, H-2ax), 1.56 (dddd ≈ br. d, 1H, H-2eq), 1.08 (s, 9H, tBu);

1H NMR (600 MHz, CD3OD, HSQC, COSY, NOESY) δ 7.71-7.67 (m, 4H, Ph), 7.48 - 7.42 (m, 2H, Ph), 7.41 - 7.38 (m, 4H, Ph), 3.91 (dd ≈ q, 1H, H-3), 3.87 (dd, J3,6α = 5.1 Hz, J6α,6β = 10.9 Hz, 1H, H-6α), 3.84 (dd ≈ br. d, 1H, H-1eq), 3.83 (dd, J3,6α = 4.0 Hz, 1H, H-6β), 3.82 (m, 1H, H-5), 3.69 (dd ≈ dt, J1α,1eq = 11.6 Hz, J1α,2αeq = 2.2 Hz, 1H, H-1ax), 3.62 (dd ≈ dt, 1H, H-4), 3.44 (dd, J4,α,OH = 4.4 Hz, 1H, 4-OH), 2.29 (dd, J1α,2αeq = 12.8 Hz, J1eq,2αeq = 5.2 Hz, J2α,2b = 14.6 Hz, J2α,3 = 3.5 Hz, 1H, H-2ax), 1.56 (dddd ≈ br. d, 1H, H-2eq), 1.08 (s, 9H, tBu);

1H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl3, HSQC-DEPT) δ 7.71-7.67 (m, 4H, Ph), 7.48 - 7.42 (m, 2H, Ph), 7.41 - 7.38 (m, 4H, Ph), 3.91 (dd ≈ q, 1H, H-3), 3.87 (dd, J3,6α = 5.1 Hz, J6α,6β = 10.9 Hz, 1H, H-6α), 3.84 (dd ≈ br. d, 1H, H-1eq), 3.83 (dd, J3,6α = 4.0 Hz, 1H, H-6β), 3.82 (m, 1H, H-5), 3.69 (dd ≈ dt, J1α,1eq = 11.6 Hz, J1α,2αeq = 2.2 Hz, 1H, H-1ax), 3.62 (dd ≈ dt, 1H, H-4), 3.44 (dd, J4,α,OH = 4.4 Hz, 1H, 4-OH), 2.29 (dd, J1α,2αeq = 12.8 Hz, J1eq,2αeq = 5.2 Hz, J2α,2b = 14.6 Hz, J2α,3 = 3.5 Hz, 1H, H-2ax), 1.56 (dddd ≈ br. d, 1H, H-2eq), 1.08 (s, 9H, tBu);

1H NMR (600 MHz, CD3OD, HSQC-DEPT, COSY, NOESY) δ 7.71-7.67 (m, 4H, Ph), 7.48 - 7.42 (m, 2H, Ph), 7.41 - 7.38 (m, 4H, Ph), 3.91 (dd ≈ q, 1H, H-3), 3.87 (dd, J3,6α = 5.1 Hz, J6α,6β = 10.9 Hz, 1H, H-6α), 3.84 (dd ≈ br. d, 1H, H-1eq), 3.83 (dd, J3,6α = 4.0 Hz, 1H, H-6β), 3.82 (m, 1H, H-5), 3.69 (dd ≈ dt, J1α,1eq = 11.6 Hz, J1α,2αeq = 2.2 Hz, 1H, H-1ax), 3.62 (dd ≈ dt, J1α,2αeq = 12.8 Hz, J1eq,2αeq = 5.2 Hz, J2α,3 = 3.4 Hz, 1H, H-2ax), 1.89 (dd ≈ br. d, 1H, H-1ax), 1.89 (dd ≈ dt, 1H, H-2eq), 1.07 (s, 9H, tBu);
11.8 Hz, $J_{11ax,2eq} = 2.3$ Hz, 1H, H-1ax), 3.73 (ddd $\equiv$ br. d, $J_{11ax,4} = 3.3$ Hz, 1H, H-4), 3.66 (ddd $\equiv$ dt, $J_{4,5} = 1.2$ Hz, 1H, H-5), 3.48 (s, 1H, 4-OD), 2.28 (ddd, $J_{11ax,2ax} = 12.7$ Hz, $J_{1eq,2ax} = 3.4$ Hz, $J_{2ax,2eq} = 14.6$ Hz, $J_{2ax,3} = 5.2$ Hz, 1H, H-2ax), 1.60 (ddd $\equiv$ dq, 1H, H-2eq).  

$^1$C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 73.6 (C-5), 69.0 (C-4), 64.6 (C-6), 63.2 (C-1), 58.9 (C-3), 24.9 (C-2);  

$^1$H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$), HMBC, COSY, NOESY $\delta$ 5.14, 5.26, 5.30, 5.35, 5.40, 5.41 (m, 1H, 6-OD), 3.86 (ddd $\equiv$ br. q, $J_1 = 11.4$ Hz, 1H, H-4), 3.66 (ddd, $J_{1eq,1ax} = 11.5$ Hz, $J_{1eq,2eq} = 4.8$ Hz, $J_{1eq,2eq} = 2.9$ Hz, 1H, H-1eq), 3.52-3.38 (m, 5H, H-1ax, H-3, H-5, H-6a, H-6b), 2.06 (ddd, $J_{11ax,2ax} = 11.3$ Hz, $J_{1eq,2ax} = 4.8$ Hz, $J_{2ax,2eq} = 14.2$ Hz, $J_{2ax,3} = 3.5$ Hz, 1H, H-2ax), 1.44 (ddd $\equiv$ br. dq, $J_{2ax,2eq} = 14.2$ Hz, $J_{1eq,2eq} = 2.9$ Hz, $J = 1.0$ Hz, $J_1 = 24$ Hz, 1H, H-2eq);  

$^1$C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$) $\delta$ 75.8 (C-5), 65.6 (C-3), 61.4 (C-1), 60.2 (C-6), 59.1 (C-4), 25.3 (C-2);  

HRMS GC TOF m/z 173.08914 calcd. for C$_9$H$_8$N$_2$O$_4$ found 173.07949 for [M$^+$.]

1,5-Anhydro-3-azido-2,3-dideoxy-D-(xylo-hexonic Acid) (16)  

A solution of compound 15 (0.183 g, 1.06 mmol) in a mixture of dichloromethane (9 mL) and water (3 mL) was stirred in the absence of light together with tetrabutylammonium iodide (0.02 g, 0.04 mmol), TEMPO (0.03 g, 0.21 mmol), and NaH (0.21 g, 1.38 mmol) in vacuo to give the crude product.  

To a solution of the crude aldehyde product in t-BuOH (5 mL), 2-methyl-2-butenone (0.8 mL, 7.4 mmol) and a solution of NaClO$_2$ (0.16 g, 1.38 mmol, 80%) and NaHPO$_4$, H$_2$O (0.21 g, 1.38 mmol) in H$_2$O (5 mL) were added, and the mixture was stirred at rt for 1h. The mixture was acidified with 10% HClaq. and extracted with EtOAc (5 mL). The organic layer was dried with anhydrous Na$_2$SO$_4$, filtered and evaporated in vacuo.  

To a solution of the crude acid product in MeOH was added methylamine and the resulting mixture was stirred at rt for 1h. The mixture was then concentrated in vacuo and the residue was recrystallized from EtOH to give the desired product as a clear colorless solid; $[a]_D^{20} = +49.0$ (c=1.0 in methanol);  

$^1$H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$), HSQC-HMBC, COSY, NOESY $\delta$ 5.51 (br. s, 1H, 4-OD), 4.07 (d, $J_{4,5} = 2.3$ Hz, 1H, H-5), 3.94 (ddd $\equiv$ q, $J_{2eq,3} = 3.5$ Hz, 1H, H-3), 3.77 (ddd, $J_{1eq,1ax} = 11.5$ Hz, 1H, H-1ax), 3.69 (ddd $\equiv$ t, $J_{1ax,4} = 3.4$ Hz, 1H, H-4), 3.53 (ddd $\equiv$ dt, $J_{1ax,1eq} = 11.5$ Hz, $J_{1eq,3} = 11.6$ Hz, 2.4 Hz, 1H, H-1ax), 2.10 (ddd, $J_{1ax,2ax} = 11.4$, $J_{1eq,2ax} = 3.8$ Hz, $J_{2ax,3} = 4.6$ Hz, 1H, H-2ax), 1.46 (ddd $\equiv$ dq, $J_{1eq,2eq} = 2.6$ Hz, $J_{2ax,2eq} = 14.3$ Hz, 1H, H-2eq);  

$^1$C NMR (151 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$) $\delta$ 170.7 (C=O), 74.3 (C-5), 66.8 (C-4), 61.4 (C-1), 58.3 (C-3), 58.4 (dd, $J_{1eq,2eq} = 1.9$ Hz, 1H, H-1eq), 3.73 (ddd $\equiv$ dt, $J_{1ax,1eq} = 11.5$ Hz, $J_{1ax,2eq} = 2.2$ Hz, 1H, H-1ax), 2.26 (ddd, $J_{1ax,2ax} = 12.2$, $J_{1eq,2ax} = 3.3$ Hz, $J_{2ax,3} = 5.0$ Hz, 1H, H-2ax), 1.59 (ddd $\equiv$ br. d, $J_{2ax,2eq} = 14.5$ Hz, 1H, H-2eq);  

$^1$H NMR (600 MHz, CD$_2$OD) $\delta$ 173.2 (C=O), 75.7 (C-5), 68.3 (C-48 (C-3), 24.5 (C-2);  
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